Filtering phosphorus out of surface runoff with a phosphorus removal structure

Dustin Stoner, Chad Penn, and Jason Warren

Department of Plant and Soil Science Oklahoma State University chad.penn@okstate.edu



Why P management?

- Phosphorus (P) is essential to all forms
 of life on earth no known toxic effects
- Adequate P levels in soils are essential for production of agronomic crops
- In most fresh surface water bodies growth of algae or aquatic plants is limited by P availability



Introduction

Problem: phosphorus (P) in surface waters
 – P is a limiting nutrient among surface waters

 Excessive P concentrations leads to eutrophication

 Fish kills, odors, problems with water treatment processes (drinking water) and recreation

P transport to surface waters

- Occurs primarily via surface flow
 - Dissolved P 100% biologically available
 - Particulate P carried on eroded particles, not immediately bio-available
- If the soil becomes saturated with P the potential for P loss increases significantly



Phosphorus Losses: Source and Transport





P Losses to Surface Water Risk Increases As Soil P Increases



Research

Potential for P loss

P losses to surface waters

- Particulate P loss is easy to prevent
 - Erosion control
- Dissolved P loss is difficult to prevent from soils with high P levels or systems with little P retention capacity
 - Even if we stop applying P to high P soils, they will continue to produce dissolved P in runoff for many years



Potential Solution for Dissolved P: P Sorbing Materials (PSM)

- Treatment of runoff and drainage water prior to reaching surface waters
 - Al, Fe, and Ca containing materials that chemically bind with P, reducing soluble P concentrations.
 - Al and Fe oxides/hydroxides: precipitation, ligand exchange, and electrostatic attraction
 - Ca: precipitation and electrostatic attraction
 - Many by-products contain potential P sorbing minerals

Example waste product PSM's



Acid mine drainage treatment residuals



Drinking water treatment residuals



Bauxite mining and production waste (red mud)



Fly ash



Paper mill waste



Steel slag waste



Waste recycled gypsum







Advantages of P removal structures

- Ability to remove PSM after becoming saturated
 - P, various metals, and pesticides are removed from the system, preventing long term exposure.
 - spent P saturated material could have fertilizer value
- Remove particulate P (PP) in addition to dissolved P (DP)





Potential Application





Potential Application: Barnyard Runoff



 Treat runoff P originating from around poultry barns or manure storage areas



Success Stories: AMDR box filter



Stainless steel box $(1 \times 2 \text{ m})$ installed in field drainage ditch (500 lbs AMDR). Results: removed 99% of P, Zn, Cu, and As entering the box.

Success Stories: Stillwater Country Club Slag Filter





Distribution Manifold and Drain





P removal structure at SCC



- Cost: \$2,000 for steel and welder time
- Slag was free (3 tons sieved)
- \$200 to sieve and transport slag from Ft. Smith to Stillwater
- \$2,200 total



Model development

 Developed a user friendly empirical model based on laboratory material characterization and flow-through P sorption experiments:



Testing 14 different materials

- Add P at constant rate
- Vary retention time and P concentration
- Measure P in outflow



Model Development

- Ultimately, the model can be used for:
 - Sizing structures for removing targeted P loads at "hot spots"
 - Use to predict the life of a constructed structure
 - Users only need
 - basic watershed/runoff characteristics
 - typical P concentrations
 - routine characterization of material
 - » i.e. pH buffer capacity, pH, total Ca, Al, Fe, water soluble Ca





P removed (mg kg⁻¹)

Comparison to other BMPs

- In the short term there is no BMP that can appreciably reduce soluble P losses
 - P "mining" with hay crops to reduce soil P levels
 - Bermuda grass and Rhyegrass-bermuda grass
 - 3 years to reduce soil P (Mehlich-3) from 300 to 240 ppm in Mississippi
 - Poultry litter transport programs
 - Only prevents soil P from increasing, does not decrease soil P



Cost of technology?

- Depends on available material and watershed characteristics
- Example of dairy farm watershed in NY
 - 400 acres delivered 94.5 lb DP/yr
 - Using WTR similar to Tulsa, need 4 tons/yr for 30% reduction
 - Assume DP in runoff is 0.5 ppm
 - 3 inch deep, 476 ft², \$10/ft², transport costs, annual cleanout, profit by private company
 - = \$41 per lb DP removed over 7 yr period.





